

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/182,933 10/30/98 REITMEIER G SAR13070

EXAMINER

TM02/0315 THOMASON, MOSER AND PATTERSON, LLP 2-40 BRIDGE AVENUE P.O. BOX 8160 RED BANK NJ 07701-5300

MEISLAHN, D ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER

2132 DATE MAILED:

03/15/01 -

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/182,933 Applicant(s)

Reitmeler et al.

Examiner

Douglas Meislahn

Group Art Unit

2132

ТН	EΡ	ERIOD F	FOR RESPO	ONSE: [d	heck only	a) or	b)]						
	a)	X expir	es <u>3</u>	month	s from the n	nailing	date of the fina	l rejection.					
b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whicher is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.											ichever final		
	date	a on which	the rechance	the netition	and the fe	a hava	haan filad ic th	1.136(a), the project date of the resident fee. Any extension response or as		46	- Ala		
X	App per	pellant's riod for re	Brief is due esponse set	two mor forth abo	ths from tove, which	he da never i	te of the No is later). Se	tice of Appea e 37 CFR 1.1	Il filed on 191(d) and 3	<i>Feb</i> 37 CFR 1.1	<u>27, 2001</u> 192(a).	_ (or within	any
Ap bu	plic t is	ant's re NOT de	sponse to temed to pla	he final	rejection pplicatio	, filed n in c	on <u>Jan 2</u> ondition fo	<u>4, 2001</u> r allowance:	has be	en consid	ered with	the followi	ng effect,
X	The	e propos	ed amendm	ent(s):									
		will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.											
	X	will not be entered because:											
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).												
		they		med to p				er form for ap	peal by ma	terially red	ucing or si	mplifying th	е
			• •		aims with	out ca	ncelling a c	orresponding	number of	finally reie	cted claim	S.	
	1						_	1. 23. and 2					5.18 22
								nd/or consid					
	Ne	wly prop	osed or am	ended cla	aims		owing reject		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	would	be allowa	ble if submi	itted in a
	sep	parate, ti	mely filed a	mendme	nt cancell	ing the	e non-allowa	able claims.					
	The for	e affidav allowan	it, exhibit or ce because:	request	for recons	siderat	ion has bee	n considered	but does N	IOT place t	he applica	tion in cond	dition
			t or exhibit of the final re		be consid	lered t	pecause it is	not directed	SOLELY to	issues wh	nich were n	ewly raised	by the
X	For	r purpose	es of Appea	, the sta	tus of the	claims	s is as follov	vs (see attacl	ned written	explanatio	n, if any):		_
	Cla	ims allov	wed:										
	Claims allowed:												
	Cla	ims reje	cted: <u>1-18</u> 8	and 22-2	9							/_	
	The	e propos	ed drawing	correctio	n filed on			i	nas 🗌 has	not been a	approyed b	y the Exam	iner.
	Not	te the att	ached Infor	mation D	isclosure	Stater	ment(s), PT	O-1449, Pape	er No(s)		_/: <i> </i>		
	Oth	ner									M	MAN	EXAMINER
										,	TI	OD STRIENT DRY PATENT	EXAMMER TEFF 2700
		Trademark O					Adviso	ry Action			SIFECHI O	f Paper No.	12